

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/648,271	08/27/2003	Yuu Inatomi	43888-274	5210	
7590 05/18/2007 MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N.W.			EXAMINER		
			WEINER, LAURA S		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1745		
		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/648,271	INATOMI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Laura S. Weiner	1745	

Delote the filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura S. Weiner	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff rtice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	, .,		
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile that status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>15,18,23,29 and 30</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>8 and 14</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,7,9-13 and 31</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>16,17,19,20 and 2</u> AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<u>24-28</u> .	,	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a l).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	Q O).
		Laura Silvainas	
	\ /	Laura S Weiner Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 1745

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 15 was cited as allowable in regard to the elected species which is cited in claim 18. Previously claims 1,7, 9-13 and 31 were rejected. Claims 16-17, 19-20,28, Formula (2) ,formula (3) , formula (5) and formula (6) were withdrawn and have not been reviewed, examined or searched.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,271 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12-1-06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Inoue et al. does not teach Formula (4) but the Examiner disagrees because bis-(ethylenedithio) tetrathiafluvalene is Formula (4) and Inoue et al. teaches that the positive electrode comprises the bis-ethylene dithio tetrathiafluvalene. See Appendix to this office action for structure.

Election/Restrictions

- Applicant's election without traverse of Species 3, Formula 4, claims 1, 4, 7-15,
 21-23 in the reply filed on 7-17-06 is acknowledged.
- 3. Claims 16-17, 19-20, 24-28 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 7-17-06.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Claims 1, 7, 9-13, 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Inoue et al. (JP 8-195199, translation).